UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (HOUSTON)

. Case No. 23-90731

IN RE: . Chapter 11

. (Jointly Administered)

SURGALIGN HOLDINGS, INC.,

et al.,

515 Rusk StreetHouston, TX 77002

Debtors.

. Thursday, August 10, 2023

. 4:21 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF ORDER (I) AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING THE SALE OF CERTAIN OF THE DEBTORS' DIGITAL HEALTH BUSINESS ASSETS TO AUGMEDICS, INC. FREE AND CLEAR OF ALL LIENS, CLAIMS, ENCUMBRANCES, AND INTERESTS; (II) AUTHORIZING THE SALE TRANSACTION; AND (III) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF [338]

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHRISTOPHER M. LOPEZ UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES:

For the Debtors:

White & Case LLP

By: GREGORY F. PESCE, ESQ.

111 South Wacker Drive, Suite 5100

Chicago, IL 60606-4302

(312) 881-5400

White & Case LLP

By: SAMUEL P. HERSHEY, ESQ. 1221 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020-1095

(212) 819-8200

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES CONTINUED.

Audio Operator: Courtroom ECRO Personnel

Transcription Company: Access Transcripts, LLC

10110 Youngwood Lane Fishers, IN 46048 (855) 873-2223

www.accesstranscripts.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES (Continued):

For the Debtors:

Jackson Walker LLP

By: VERONICA POLNICK, ESQ.

1401 McKinney Street, Suite 1900

Houston, TX 77010 (713) 752-4200

For the Official

Committed of Unsecured

Creditors:

Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP

By: ROBERT J. FEINSTEIN, ESQ.
70 Third Avenue, 34th Floor

New York, NY 10017-2024

(212) 561-7700

For SNH Medical Office

Properties Trust:

Goulston & Storrs PC

By: PETER BILOWZ, ESQ. 400 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02110

(617) 482-1776

For Augmedics, Inc.:

Law Office Of Nathan A. Schultz

By: NATHAN SCHULTZ, ESQ.

10621 Craig Road

Traverse City, MI 49686

(310) 429-7128

For Surgical Theater,

Inc.:

Chamberlain Hrdlicka

By: JARROD MARTIN, ESQ.

1200 Smith Street, Suite 1400

Houston, TX 77002 (713) 356-1280

Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP

By: MICHAEL O'NEIL, ESQ.

One Indiana Square, Suite 3500 Indianapolis, IN 46204-2023

(317) 713-3561

Also Present:

JAMES GARRETT, ESQ.

KEVIN HYKES

JEFF WETHERITE

GEORGE VARUGHESE

	INDEX 8/10/23			
WITNESS FOR THE DEBTORS:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
George Varughese	11			
<u>EXHIBITS</u>				ADMITTED
ECF Numbers 334-9 and 334-10				10

```
1
         (Proceedings commence at 4:21 p.m.)
 2
              THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon, everyone. This is
 3
    Judge Lopez. Today is August the 10th. I'm going to call
 4
    Surgalign, 23-90731, continuation of a sale hearing. There's
 5
    about -- now, the courtroom is empty, but there's about -- a
 6
    little over 60 people on the line. I'm just going -- I'm going
 7
    to start to -- a number of parties have hit "five star".
 8
    Again, I'm going to ask that you please make an electronic
 9
    appearance, and we'll see where this goes. I'm just going to
10
    unmute the line in the order in which I see them. First is a
11
    646 number.
12
              MR. VARUGHESE: Your Honor, can you hear me?
13
              THE COURT: Just fine.
              MR. VARUGHESE: Okay. This is George Varughese,
14
    Alvarez & Marsal.
15
16
              THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon, sir.
17
              Here's a 330 number.
18
              MR. WITHERITE: Jeff Witherite, Surgical Theater.
19
              THE COURT: Good. Okay. Good afternoon, sir.
20
              310 number?
21
              MR. WITHERITE: Thank you.
22
              THE COURT: Mr. Schultz, that -- there's a 310 with a
23
    4 number in there. You may have muted.
24
              MR. SCHULTZ: Yes, I apologize. It should be okay
25
    now, Your Honor.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Just fine.
 2
              MR. SCHULTZ: Nathan Schultz on behalf of Augmedics,
 3
    Inc. Also with us on the line are the CEO, Kevin Hykes and the
 4
    outside general counsel, James Garrett.
 5
              THE COURT: Okay. The reason I knew this I do it all
 6
    the time. Hold on.
 7
              617 number?
 8
              MR. BILOWZ: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Peter
 9
    Bilowz on behalf of SNH Medical Office Properties Trust.
10
              THE COURT: Okay. A 312 number?
11
              MR. PESCE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Gregory
    Pesce, White & Case, on behalf of the debtors.
12
13
              THE COURT: Good afternoon.
              Alrighty, the 917 number?
14
15
              MR. FEINSTEIN:: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Robert
16
    Feinstein, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones, proposed counsel for
17
    the Committee.
18
              THE COURT: Alrighty. 914 number?
19
              MR. HERSHEY: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
20
    Hershey from White & Case for the debtors.
21
              THE COURT: Alrighty. 832 number? Good afternoon.
22
              MR. MARTIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jarrod
23
    Martin on behalf of Surgical Theater. Also with me is my
24
    co-counsel Michael O'Neil and Jeff Witherite, the Surgical
2.5
    Theater corporate representative.
```

1 THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon, Mr. Martin. 2 MR. MARTIN: Good afternoon. 3 THE COURT: 631 number? 4 MS. POLNICK: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Veronica 5 Polnick, appearing on behalf of the debtors. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon, Ms. Polnick. 7 Anyone else, please hit "five star." I'm going to kind of do a going once, going twice, and then I'm going to 8 9 turn it over to debtors' counsel. Okay. I'm turning it over to debtors' counsel. 10 11 MR. PESCE: Thank you, Your Honor. Again, Gregory 12 Pesce, White & Case, proposed counsel to the debtors. We 1.3 appreciate Your Honor making a time for us this afternoon for the continued sale hearing for the debtors' digital assets. 14 15 Just rewinding the tape here a little bit, going into 16 our prior hearing, the debtors were seeking to approve a post-17 auction bid that we received from Surgical Theater. As we 18 talked -- discussed in our reply that we filed earlier today, 19 and at Docket 336, we believe that under the fiduciary-out, it 20 was permissible and appropriate for us to proceed -- to pursue 21 that bid. But the debtors also take to heart the Court's 22 comments at the hearing. 23 And this is a case that's somewhat unusual in that we 24 don't have any secured creditors. We only have our creditors' 25 committee. And after the hearing, we discussed the matter at

length with our committee to obtain their feedback. And we also engaged with our prior lead bidder, Augmedics.

And as a result of all those conversations, Augmedics confirmed to the debtors, importantly, that they would stand by a proposed bid of \$1.5 million in cash. They also completed some remaining ancillary documents which had not yet been completed as of the sale hearing. With those developments having occurred, and with our committee supporting the decision, the debtors determined that, in lieu of continued litigation with Augmedics over the bid, that we would pivot back to Augmedics in light of its improved offer and seek to approve that proposal at today's hearing. After making that determination, we informed Mr. Martin and Mr. Martin's clients of that decision. As Your Honor may have seen earlier today, as we briefly touched upon in our reply, Mr. Martin's clients filed on the docket a further modified bid of \$2 million.

And you know, while the debtors are sort of paying to be in a position like this, we've conducted a very broad, robust process. That process led us to Augmedics, and we subsequently were able to further enhance that proposal. And we believe very strongly that that process was very -- was sound and robust. And with -- in consultation with the Committee, we understand the Committee's preference is for us to proceed with the existing Augmedics bid and bring this matter to closure.

So for the rest of today's hearing, the principal thing that we're going to do is we're going to present

Mr. Varughese as our witness in support of the Augmedics bid, to talk about the sale process that led to us -- led to the auction and then the subsequent developments there. And then, to the extent there are any actual objections, we can discuss that in argument afterwards.

I think the only lantern I might hang here for the Court is that prior to today's hearing, we finalized the APA and the ancillary documents that are expressly contemplated by the purchase agreement with Augmedics to our satisfaction and the Committee's satisfaction. Earlier today, it became clear that Augmedics may need some transition services from the debtors through approximately September 15th. We have not yet signed a transition services agreement with Augmedics, and the debtors don't believe that a transition services agreement necessarily has to be finalized prior to the closing.

We believe we can meet our obligations to Augmedics under the purchase agreement at the closing. All that being said, we may be before Your Honor in the not too distant future to seek approval of a transition services agreement if Augmedics continues to require those services.

Most importantly, we just want to -- in addition to making sure the sale happens, we want to make sure that, if Augmedics does require any services, the debtors are going to

be reimbursed and compensated for those services; and to the 2 extent any employees who are not currently being retained by 3 the debtors under the KERP program Your Honor approved that we 4 deal with that contingency. That's a long way of saying that 5 that is not a matter for today. But I just want to put that on 6 the Court's radar that this may be something that we seek 7 approval for in the not-too-distant future. But given that it 8 just came up today, it's not something we're doing today. 9 And to be clear, it is not required by our purchase 10 agreement. The debtors are ready to close as soon as possible 11 after the sale hearing with Augmedics transaction. And that --12 that's really something that's sort of a -- for the future, if 1.3 need be. 14 So with that, I'm going to -- I plan to hand it to my 15 partner, Sam Hershey, who's going to present Mr. Varughese from 16 A&M, who is the lead investment banker for Alvarez & Marsal, to 17 pursue -- or to provide a -- direct testimony and the extent 18 any parties wish to cross examine him or the Court has 19 questions, he'll obviously entertain and answer those 20 questions. 21 So before handing it -- the virtual podium to 22 Mr. Hershey, I'll pause and see if Your Honor has any questions 23 or requests that we proceed. 24 THE COURT: Nope, no questions. I think today -- and 25 I appreciate the update. I think today we said we were going

```
to get right into it, so let's do.
 2
              MR. HERSHEY: Great.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. --
 4
              MR. HERSHEY: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: Mr. Hershey, I just -- perfect.
 6
              MR. HERSHEY: Oh, sorry, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: No, no, I can hear you just fine. I just
 7
    wanted to confirm that I could hear you. Okay.
 8
 9
              MR. HERSHEY: Great.
10
              THE COURT: How do you wish to proceed, Counsel?
11
              MR. HERSHEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Sam Hershey
12
    from White & Case for the debtors.
13
              So, Your Honor, before I call Mr. Varughese to the
14
    stand, I do want to note that at the last hearing, we admitted
15
    eight exhibits into evidence. Those are at Docket Number 317,
16
    1 through 8. Earlier today, we filed an amended exhibit list
17
    that includes two additional exhibits. Those are at Docket
18
    Number 334-9 and -10. And so I'd like to move those two
19
    documents into evidence before calling the witness, if I may.
20
              THE COURT: Any objection?
21
              Okay. They're admitted.
22
         (ECF Numbers 334-9 and 334-10 admitted into evidence)
23
              MR. HERSHEY: Thank you, Your Honor. With that, the
24
    debtors would request to call Mr. Varughese to the stand.
25
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Varughese, can you raise your
```

1	right hand, sir?
2	GEORGE VARUGHESE, DEBTORS' WITNESS, SWORN
3	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to ask just to confirm
4	that you have no notes in front of you, and if any documents
5	are shown, we'll put them up on the screen so that you can see
6	them, we can all see it at the same time. Is that okay?
7	THE WITNESS: That that's okay. I do have notes
8	in front of me, but I will not look at them. Thank you.
9	THE COURT: Okay. I want you to put them just put
10	them to the side so that you're we're all comfortable.
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	THE COURT: And I would also note that parties may,
13	you know, object, and I would just ask that you give me an
14	opportunity to resolve the objection. Okay?
15	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
16	THE COURT: Mr. Hershey, you may proceed.
17	MR. HERSHEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. HERSHEY:
20	Q Mr. Varughese, good afternoon. Welcome back to the
21	witness stand.
22	A Good afternoon.
23	Q Now, Mr. Varughese, at the last hearing, you testified
24	regarding the marketing and sale process in connection with the
25	debtors' hardware assets. I want to turn now to the digital

```
assets. Can you describe the marketing process in connection
 2
    with those assets?
 3
              THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I am hearing some
 4
    background noise.
 5
              THE COURT: Yeah, I found who it was. Mr. Hershey,
    can you still -- I want to make sure I didn't mute you by
 6
    accident. Oh, I think I did. Darn, it. Hit "five star"
 7
 8
    again, Mr. Hershey.
 9
              THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
10
              THE COURT: Just a second. Hold on a second,
11
    Mr. Varughese. Hold on a second, I got it.
12
              THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry.
13
              THE COURT: I was hearing some back noise as well,
14
    and I thought I caught the right back noise party, but I
15
    didn't. I think I muted your lawyer. You're not going to be
16
    able to answer any questions.
17
              Alrighty, folks, again, we're still conducting live
18
    court. Thank you. I apologize.
19
              Mr. Hershey, why don't you ask the question again so
20
    we can have a clean record?
21
              MR. HERSHEY: Of course. Can Your Honor hear me
22
    okay?
23
              THE COURT: Just -- yes. Now, I can. And I know who
24
    you are.
25
              MR. HERSHEY: Perfect.
```

1 THE COURT: On this line again, so and I --2 MR. HERSHEY: Excellent. Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. HERSHEY: 3 4 So, Mr. Varughese, at the last hearing, you described in 5 your testimony the marketing and sale process in connection with the debtors' hardware assets. I want to turn now to the 6 7 digital assets of the debtors. Can you describe the marketing process in connection with those assets? 8 9 Yes. For context, as Mr. Pesce observed last time, I just 10 want to make sure that everybody's aware, the digital assets is 11 a pre-revenue business. It's largely based on some artificial 12 intelligence technology. It is losing money, and by our 13 estimate, it requires another \$30 million or so to get it to a 14 break-even status. So, given those features of the business, 15 we did not emphasize the marketing of this before the filing of 16 the bankruptcy to find a stalking horse. As everybody knows, 17 we found Xtant for the hardware assets. 18 And once we filed the bankruptcy, we then -- we then 19 proceeded -- we then proceeded to start the marketing for the 20 digital assets. We developed a buyer list, which consisted of 21 several different types of buyers: private equity firms, 22 venture capital firms, portfolio companies of private equity 23 firms, and strategic companies, strategic partners who are 24 already in the -- in the business. We then consulted with the 25 management team of the company, and they had several comments

```
1
    which we incorporated. We also consulted with the financial
 2
    advisor to the unsecured creditor committee, who also had some
 3
    comments, which we incorporated. In all, we had a list of over
 4
    515 parties.
 5
         And I do want to make one point here: Some of these were
 6
    parties who were also interested in the hardware. So it's
    difficult to unbundle those, given that both the hardware and
 7
 8
    the digital really work with the same type of -- same type of
 9
    business. So what I'm talking about is a -- is a list that
10
    might encompass people who also had an interest in the
11
    hardware.
12
         So over 550 parties that were contacted. Eventually, 11
13
    parties signed an NDA who had an interest just in the digital
14
    assets. We get them -- got them into the data room, answered
15
    their questions. And at the end of the day, at the deadline
16
    for the bid, two bids were received, one from Brainlab at
17
    $150,000 and one from Augmedics at $250,000.
18
         Thank you. And what happened at the debtors' auction with
19
    respect to the digital assets?
20
         So we started the auction with the -- the two parties I
21
    mentioned, Brainlab and Augmedics. We started with the
22
    Augmedics bid of $250,000, and in increments of $50,000. There
23
    were multiple rounds. Eventually, the final bid was from
24
    Augmedics at $900,000, so we declared them the winner of the
2.5
    auction at that price, and we declared Brainlab as the backup
```

- 1 | bidder at \$850,000.
- 2 Q Now, as the Court is aware from the last hearing,
- 3 | following the auction, another bidder called Surgical Theater
- 4 came forward with a topping bid of \$1.5 million. Was Surgical
- 5 Theater one of the parties that A&M contacted in connection
- 6 | with the debtors -- with marketing the debtors' digital assets?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Why not?
- 9 A Well, Surgical Theater is a small company. It is a
- 10 private company. I understand it has revenues of less than
- 11 \\$50 million. It's a company that we had not heard of before, a
- 12 | company that management had not heard of before, and it's also
- one that the advisors to the UCC had not heard of before. I do
- 14 know that they're attending the hearing, and I want to
- 15 emphasize that there was no disrespect intended, but in --
- 16 | sometimes in large processes like this, some small companies do
- 17 get missed, and that was the reason.
- 18 Q And I -- you sort of hinted at this in your last answer,
- 19 but I just want to be really clear for the Court: To your
- 20 knowledge, did anyone from the debtors or their advisors
- 21 contact Surgical Theater regarding the sale of the debtors'
- 22 digital assets?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q Okay. So by the time Surgical Theater came forward, the
- debtors already had a winning bid at auction from Augmedics.

1 What made you believe that you could engage with Surgical 2 Theater regarding a potential topping bid? Well, this -- this was a difficult issue for us because we 3 4 had a lot of discussion between us and White & Case and the 5 management. On the one hand, there was the sanctity of the 6 process. We -- there was an auction, there was a winner, and 7 they played by the rules, and -- and that was that. On the 8 other hand, we felt that we had a fiduciary responsibility to maximize the value of the assets and maximize the recovery for 9 10 the creditors. 11 When -- after multiple conversations with Surgical Theater 12 when they approached us, we determined that that -- they had 13 the financial capacity and the skill set to make a bid that 14 would be a meaningful improvement over the Augmedics' winning 15 bid. And we felt we had no choice but to listen to them and 16 entertain their bid. 17 And in addition to the duties you're describing, did you 18 believe that you had the right, under the rules provided by the 19 Court, to consider that bid? 20 We did, yes. 21 Okay. So let me actually -- I'd like to turn your 22 attention to Committee Exhibit Number 5, which is at 23 Docket Number 317-5 24 MR. HERSHEY: And if I may, I'd like to ask the Court

to give presenter rights to Ms. Polnick, who will pull this up

25

```
for the witness to see.
 2
              THE COURT: Alrighty.
 3
              UNIDENTIFIED: I'm sorry. Counsel said that's a
 4
    committee exhibit or is it a debtor exhibit?
 5
              MR. HERSHEY: I'm sorry. Debtor exhibit.
 6
              UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.
 7
              MR. HERSHEY: I -- I'm in judge -- I'm in front of
 8
    Judge Lopez in another case where I represent the committee, so
 9
    I had a momentary confusion there. Yes, debtor exhibit. Thank
10
    you.
11
              Okay. And actually, Ms. Polnick, why don't we just
12
    go to the top first, just so I can make sure Mr. Varughese has
1.3
    seen this document before. Okay.
    BY MR. HERSHEY:
14
         So, Mr. Varughese, take a second and look at this. And
15
16
    then if you could let me know if you've seen this document
17
    before.
18
         I have.
    Α
19
         Have you reviewed it?
20
    Α
         Yes.
21
         Okay.
22
              MR. HERSHEY: So now we can go, Ms. Polnick, to PDF,
23
    Page 35. And can we actually -- can we zoom in a bit on 17?
24
    Thank you very much. Okay.
2.5
    BY MR. HERSHEY:
```

- 1 Q Mr. Varughese, you see Section 17 here?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And you see the title of the section is "Fiduciary
- 4 Duties"?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Okay. so I'm just going to read this for you and read it
- 7 | into the record. "Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in
- 8 | these bid procedures, nothing in these bid procedures shall
- 9 require the debtors, after consulting with counsel, to take any
- 10 action or refrain from taking any action with respect to any
- 11 potential transaction, if taking or failing to take such action
- would be inconsistent with applicable law or their fiduciary
- 13 duties." Do you see that?
- 14 A Yes, I do.
- 15 Q And you see that the next line says, "The debtors maintain
- 16 their right to exercise their fiduciary-out at any time prior
- 17 to the entry of the sale order". See that?
- 18 A I do.
- 19 Q Okay. Mr. Varughese, are these two sentences consistent
- 20 | with what your understanding was of the debtors' fiduciary-out
- 21 | that you mentioned in your testimony?
- 22 A It is.
- 23 Q Okay.
- 24 MR. HERSHEY: Thank you, Ms. Polnick. We can take it
- down.

- BY MR. HERSHEY:
- 2 Q Okay. Mr. Varughese, let's fast-forward to today. The
- 3 Court is aware, of course, that the last hearing, Augmedics,
- 4 | agreed to raise its bid to \$1.5 million. What, if anything,
- 5 | has Surgical Theater done since the last hearing?
- 6 A So as the Court is aware, in one of the breaks that was
- 7 | taken at the last hearing, Surgical Theater indicated that --
- 8 | that they would be willing to move their bid up to
- 9 \$1.65 million. And then yesterday, they let -- informed us
- 10 | that that -- they would be improving the bid further, to
- 11 \$2 million.
- 12 Q And -- okay. So just -- I just want to make sure it's
- 13 crystal clear for the record, so am I correct in understanding
- 14 the debtors now have two bids for their digital assets? They
- 15 have one bid from Augmedics for \$1.5 million and one bid from
- 16 Surgical Theater for \$2 million. Is that correct?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Was there any other component to either party's bids
- 19 | that's worth raising to the Court's attention?
- 20 A Yes. Surgical Theater had -- had said that that -- they'd
- 21 be willing to take at least three employees, and maybe more, of
- 22 the company's digital business.
- 23 Q Okay. So with those two bids before it, which bid is the
- 24 | company moving forward with -- or seeking to move forward with
- 25 today?

1 We are seeking to move forward with the bid from Augmedics 2 at \$1.5 million. And why is the company seeking to move forward with that 3 4 bid? 5 So this also has been a difficult question. Several 6 things influenced our decision on this. First and foremost, as 7 Mr. Pesce pointed out in his opening comments, there is no 8 secured debt here. All that we are doing here in maximizing 9 value is for the benefit of the unsecured creditors. 10 And I -- I believe Mr. Feinstein was -- was -- was 11 speaking at the last hearing. Our interpretation of that was 12 that he was not banging the table to tell us that -- that you 13 got to go get the last dollar or the highest bid. So that was 14 one thing that influenced us. 15 The second is, Your Honor, we -- we heard you clearly. 16 You clearly put a premium on the value of the sanctity of the 17 process, the integrity of the process, and that was very clear 18 to us. 19 And the third thing is, this is a small company. We are 20 losing money every day. We are eroding our cash. And this --21 this hearing and other things are very expensive. We really 22 want to get to a conclusion quickly and move on.

feeling, after thinking about all of these, is that -- the --

the best and the experienced solution here is to move forward

with the Augmedics bid of \$1.5 million.

23

24

2.5

```
1
              MR. HERSHEY:
                            Thank you, Mr. Varughese.
 2
              I have no further questions, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask, does anyone who
 4
    supports the relief requested wish to ask this witness any
 5
    questions? And again, if you need to hit "five star", I'm just
 6
    checking now.
              Okay. Anyone wish to cross-examine the witness?
 7
 8
    Again, if you wish to ask questions or if you need me, just
 9
    please hit "five star".
10
              Okay. Alrighty. Thank you.
11
              THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
12
         (Witness excused)
13
              THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else?
14
              Mr. Hershey, I think it's probably best for me to
15
    turn it back over to you.
16
              MR. HERSHEY: Thank you, Your Honor. The debtors
17
    have no further witnesses or evidence, and so we will rest. I
18
    don't know if any other party wishes to submit evidence or a --
19
              THE COURT: Okay. Let me -- let's find out: Does
20
    anyone who supports the relief requested have any additional
21
    evidence they wish to present to the Court?
22
              Okay. Does anyone who opposes the relief requested
23
    or -- wish to address the Court or present any evidence?
24
              MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, this is Jarrod Martin for
25
    Surgical Theater.
```

1 THE COURT: Yes, sir? 2 MR. MARTIN: I'm kind of in an interesting spot 3 because my clients neither supporting the relief requested nor 4 objecting to the relief requested. And in fact, I'm not 5 certain we have standing to object to a sale when we're not a 6 creditor. 7 But that being said, I did want to let the Court know that to the extent the Court has any questions specifically for 8 9 Mr. Witherite, he's available. The Court made some comments at 10 the last hearing that he was -- that you were concerned about 11 what Mr. Witherite knew or didn't know. And I didn't want the 12 Court to think we were trying to hide him as a witness. 1.3 he's still available to the extent the Court has any questions. 14 But I am not -- I have no burden of proof in this 15 hearing. I have no case in chief to put on. And so I'm not 16 presenting him as a witness, but he is available to the Court 17 for questions. 18 THE COURT: Perfect. Thank you very much. 19 MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Judge. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else? 21 Okay. Mr. Hershey and Mr. Pesce, maybe I turn things 22 back over to you. 23 MR. PESCE: Thank you. For the record, Gregory 24 Pesce, White & Case, proposed counsel to the debtors. The --25 with the evidence closed, I'll just make a few final comments

here because I'm pleased to see that there's no objections that have been filed, and I'm not aware of any objections to the sale.

So maybe just quickly, in summary here, the debtors, as we talked about at the first hearing, and every successive hearing, commenced these cases to run a sale process. We set up a strong process to maximize value that resulted already in the approval, and we're in the process of closing the hardware sale. And we're now on the precipice of hopefully obtaining approval of the digital sale so we can quickly consummate that.

The sale here is a clear exercise of the debtors' business judgment. While the circumstances of this hearing are somewhat unique, the results here are also very unique. We came here with a bid of \$900,000, and we now have a bid that's materially higher, from that same bidder, of \$1.5 million and the prospect of being able to close this sale very quickly.

That's particularly notable in light of the fact, as our witness testified, this business is not making money. It's costing the company money every day. And it'll take upwards of \$30 million to even hit break-even. No one was willing to invest in the company before bankruptcy and no one was willing to invest in the company after bankruptcy to fund that, and the sale is literally the only option that we have here.

We've fully complied with our obligations and duties under the bidding procedures order, and in -- to that end have

been in regular contact with our creditors' committee, which I 2 understand fully supports our sale here, was present at the 3 auction, and has been consulted literally every day. 4 Mr. Sandler and Mr. Feinstein, both, and the rest of their team 5 for the, you know, better part of a week and a half here as 6 these more recent developments have come up. 7 So with that said, we don't have any further 8 argument. We're happy to take any questions that the Court 9 might have prior to seeking entry of bidding procedures order. 10 And with that, I'll stop. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone else wish to be heard? 12 UNIDENTIFIED: Your Honor --MR. FEINSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor. May I --13 14 sorry. 15 THE COURT: I guess I ought to say anyone who 16 supports the relief requested wish to be heard? Anyone? 17 MR. FEINSTEIN: I guess that would be me, Your Honor. 18 Again, for the record, Robert Feinstein for the Committee. So, 19 Your Honor, we certainly agree with the observations Mr. Pesce 20 made. This is a difficult and painful situation because I 21 think it's clear that it -- had SPI been alerted to the sale 22 prospect before the auction, we might have had a spirited 23 auction, we might have had a better purchase price. And 24 that -- that's really unfortunate. But we also respect the 25 process and we respect that Augmedics had a right to rely on

the bid procedures order. And they went above and beyond the call of duty, in my opinion, because they didn't need to raise their bid from 900,000 to a million five. They could have stood pat and said, that was our -- announced as a winning bid, and they could have contested any effort to sell to another bidder.

They also could have appealed. And I don't want to minimize that prospect because the delay in the closing of the sale with an appeal, that occasion, would cause the estate to lose more money. And then there's the cost of the appeal itself, because you've got debtors' professionals, the Committee's professionals, charging the estate for the appellate process. And I know, again from the Line Tree (phonetic) experience that the disappointed late bidder there -- or disappointed original bidder appealed on a state pending appeal. There were a lot of proceedings.

So given that the increment here is 500,000, that could very easily be chewed up by operating losses, by professional fees associated with the appeal. It's also conceivable Augmedics would have made a claim for some kind of administrative expense, like a breakup fee, if they were disappointed in the process. So after synthesizing all those things and giving us a lot of careful thought, we come out where we are, which is supporting the sale to Augmedics for a million-five.

1 I did hear along the way, and I don't know if it's 2 still operative, that SPI was prepared to be a backup bidder at 3 1.3 million. That preceded their filing their new bid today 4 (indiscernible). I don't know if that's still the case. 5 always good to have a backup bidder. I mean, we did have a 6 different backup bidder, I guess, at 850. We would like 7 clarity on that. 8 But to be clear, Your Honor, we do support the sale 9 to Augmedics at 1.5 million. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. 11 Mr. Martin, did you wish to speak? 12 MR. MARTIN: Yes, Your Honor. Just a few words, if 13 you don't mind. 14 THE COURT: Sure. 15 MR. MARTIN: Again, like I said previously, we're not 16 objecting to the relief requested, but we're not supporting the 17 relief requested. We're still very much interested in the 18 assets, but understand and appreciate the difficult position 19 that this puts the debtors and the UCC in. But I hope that 20 they can take solace that SCI's involvement in the process to 21 date has provided value to the estate in at least \$600,000 in 22 what we viewed as an increased bid. And despite the fact that 23 Augmedics said that they would not be participating in any 24 additional bidding, that at the last hearing there was another 25 bid.

1 I also wanted to address the backup bidder issue. Ι 2 would like some clarity from the Court on that, given the fact that under the bidding procedures, Brainlabs was the backup 3 4 bidder, and I struggle to see how we could slide ahead of 5 Brainlabs as the backup bidder if we couldn't bid against 6 Augmedics as the primary bidder. So I'd like some clarity on 7 that, whether it's even permissible for us to act as the backup 8 bidder or not, but we're certainly willing and able to do so. 9 That's all I have, Your Honor. And I appreciate your comments. 10 Thank you. 11 THE COURT: No, thank you. 12 Okay. So does anyone else wish to be heard? 13 Okay. Before the Court is request for approval of 14 the digital health business assets to Augmedics free and clear 15 of all liens, claims, and encumbrances. This is a continuation 16 of an emergency motion that was filed at Docket Number 26. 17 There's been more than proper notice and service of today's 18 hearing and service of the motion. This is a core proceeding 19 under 28 USC 157(b)(2), the sale of assets. And Court has 20 jurisdiction to enter under 28 USC 1334. 21 This motion was originally filed on June 19th. 22 connection with this motion, the Court approved bidding 23 procedures, and there was an auction conducted in accordance 24 with those procedures. Augmedics was declared the winner of 25 the auction. Since the filing of that winning -- notice of the

winning bidder, another entity came through, Surgical Technologies and wanted to place a topping bid.

Let me just deal with the -- just basics and get back to first principles, which is always the Bankruptcy Code.

Section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code authorizes -- well, we can say 363(b), authorizes the debtor with notice and a hearing to sell assets outside of the ordinary course of business. The standard to sell developed by courts, especially the one that this Court is bound by, is the concept of business judgment.

Substantial deference is given to business judgment here.

Right?

Obviously -- and just note, you know, the duty of the debtor, right, is to maximize the value of the estate. There was a bidding procedures set forth, and that process was intended to maximize the value of the estate. Therefore, in other words, if one follows the bidding procedures and a winner is declared, parties are provided notice of the sale, parties in interest who may have a -- you know, an interest in the assets because the assets were to be sold free and clear, to the extent that there were any, constitutional due process was provided to parties through notice.

But there's also a process, that is determined, if one follows that process, then therefore, one has essentially provided a -- you know, a runway for a debtor to follow and in their advisors to say that, you know, they've maximized the

value of the estate, they've done what they could. And that's what the debtor did here.

And there was an initial bid of \$900,000. There was an objection because there was a topping bid placed by Augmedics. And now -- the Court was not comfortable proceeding on that day. There were some bids, and I wanted to make sure that -- quite frankly, that the Committee had an opportunity to kind of be able to consult and provide some transparency to the process.

I would note, and I think Mr. Martin kind of correctly noted, you know, parties are entitled to notice of a hearing. Parties in interest are entitled to notice of a hearing. There is no really kind of -- you know, outside of the process that the Court has established, right, no one really has a right to buy the asset. But there's a process in place in which one can say they followed and are entitled to be declared the winner. And that's really kind of where Augmedics was.

And I certainly understand the role that Mr. Martin's clients played here and based upon the evidence -- and I want to make sure that I provide a clear record -- they've done nothing wrong. Mr. Martin has done nothing wrong. They weren't notified about the assets. And A&M did nothing wrong, right? It's -- there's always going to be someone who doesn't know about the process, and that's kind of what happened here.

```
And -- but the debtor did what it could to proceed with a
 2
    higher bid, felt it was -- had a duty -- a fiduciary duty to do
 3
    so.
 4
              I'm going to declare Augmedics as the winning bidder,
 5
    and it's -- I'm only going to allow them to be declared the
 6
    winner. I'm not going to declare a backup bidder.
 7
              I think, Mr. Pesce, if things don't close with
    Augmedics, then just come back really quickly with someone.
 8
 9
    And I think, you know, we can do that on less than 24-hours'
10
    notice. There's been notice that as to, you know, whether
11
    Mr. Martin's client will be the winner of that. And you can
12
    come in here really fast with me and that process can take care
13
    of because I'm not opening the auction back. I'm just going --
14
    there's -- if you've got something and it's high, then come
15
    into court with it.
16
              But I'll just declare Augmedics as the winner. I
17
    think that the debtors have satisfied their business judgment,
18
    and I do believe business judgment has been established, and I
19
    do really want to make sure that -- you know, that the process
20
    has been followed. And sometimes I think this is one of the
21
    results of the process. But I do note a lot more money has
22
    come in into the door.
23
              Everybody has done their job and I really appreciate
24
         This is what happens when you have high-end professionals.
```

And so I don't think anyone was put in a difficult position.

25

think the process -- you know, this happens from time to time and Augmedics is the winner today. You know, we'll see if they can close.

But based on everything that's before me, I think they're entitled to the protections of 363(m). And there's — I'm finding of no collusion under the 363(m). And I think debtors' counsel did their job and they're really good at it and I appreciate it. And I think the Committee has done exactly what it was supposed to do, and I appreciate them, and I appreciate the work that Mr. Martin did. I think everyone did what they were supposed to do.

Augmedics is the winner. They won the auction, and they put up more money now, and the debtor did what they were supposed to do. But I'm going to declare Augmedics as the winner, and I'll approve an order that way.

Mr. Pesce, I don't know if I need to sign an order.

Kind of -- I don't know if I can just strip out the whole

backup bidder piece. But I'm telling you, on less than -- on

24-hours' notice, if something doesn't close, just come back

and you pick the winner. You pick who you want to go with, and

we're not going to get into kind of a -- you know, just -
you're just going to come in and tell me who you want, and

we'll go forward. And if it's Mr. Martin's client, that's

completely fine with me. I feel comfortable with proceeding on

that way.

Τ	And I appreciate the process that A&M ran and that
2	the Committee was a consulting party. I'm comfortable with the
3	process. And there's a lot of money that's coming into the
4	estate, and so let's not lose sight of that either.
5	Mr. Pesce, let me ask you, does it make sense for me
6	to sign or kind of you know, maybe I'd ought not do that,
7	maybe? I don't know. Is it possible for me to kind of
8	Isgurize the order? Or should I stay away?
9	MR. PESCE: I think the order we filed earlier today
- 0	is you should be able to sign the order we filed earlier
L1	today. I don't think it has it doesn't have anything about
_2	Mr. Martin's backup bid. And we
L3	THE COURT: Okay.
L 4	MR. PESCE: We'll we, you know, understand your
L5	comments, and can act in that way if the unexpected occurs.
L 6	THE COURT: Alrighty. Very much appreciate
L7	everyone's time today, and I appreciate giving me a little
L 8	patience with a little matter earlier today. Thanks for
. 9	everyone. You all have a good day. Thank you.
20	MR. PESCE: Thank you, Your Honor
21	(Proceedings concluded at 2:34 p.m.)
22	* * * *
23	
24	
25	

1	
1	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>
2	
3	I, Alicia Jarrett, court-approved transcriber, hereby
4	certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the
5	official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the
6	above-entitled matter.
7	
8	
9	alicie I. fanett
10	
11	ALICIA JARRETT, AAERT NO. 428 DATE: August 14, 2023
12	ACCESS TRANSCRIPTS, LLC
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
7	